Skövde 2017

Data privacy: an introduction (part II)

Vicenç Torra

February, 2017

School of Informatics, University of Skövde, Sweden

1. Basics

- 2. A classification Dimensions
- 3. Masking methods
- 4. Privacy models and disclosure risk assessment

Basics

- Data privacy (technological / computer science perspective)
 - \circ Avoid the disclosure of sensitive information when processing data.

- Data privacy: boundaries
 - Database in a computer or in a removable device
 - \Rightarrow access control to avoid unauthorized access
 - Data is transmitted
 - \Rightarrow security technology to avoid unauthorized access



- Data privacy: boundaries
 - Database in a computer or in a removable device
 - \Rightarrow access control to avoid unauthorized access
 - Data is transmitted
 - \Rightarrow security technology to avoid unauthorized access
- Data privacy: core
 - Data is/needs to be processed:
 - \Rightarrow statistics, data mining, machine learning
 - \Rightarrow compute indices, find patterns, build models
 - Someone needs to access to data to perform authorized analysis, but access to the data and the result of the analysis should avoid disclosure.

• Data privacy: core

 Someone needs to access to data to perform authorized analysis, but access to the data and the result of the analysis should avoid disclosure.



Difficulties: Naive anonymization does not work
 Passenger manifest for the Missouri, arriving February 15, 1882; Port of Boston
 Names, Age, Sex, Occupation, Place of birth, Last place of residence, Yes/No, condition (healthy?)

ar third & no. hub & Difficultis, of uni addrox whereat fiftee t. Cite	LIS RT AND LIST OF PAS COLOR MUNICIE S COLOR MUNICIE S	ST C	DF F BS teles In Master	ASSEN in loard the tearthes Jarreps	HERS.	fie to	valien a Le aden	to better
Bajor browing and dames to at Battan, t Bajor and	and Xulle	Zy.	nse Pres = a	and a set	fermigers, is the set some	A.Uun	ue	73
	MANER	403	ARX.	ODCEPTATION.	PLACE OF BURTH.	Lost Plan of Revisions	The American	CONDITION
Alacat.	ининининининининининининининининининин	1142 1 5 2 1 1 4 2 2 2	Ikele .	Gentleman Taitet Gaitet General Kalilennan	Hochers bong Radrac chilie London Dordon Hi. Andon (1) Come bing: Mantee chan Bocien His.	Children bug Selland bug Socien He Boston He Socien He Conton bug Boston He Boston He	11 K. R. R. P. S.	Churthy.
R. Tata				C	O			

- Difficulties: highly identifiable data
 - (Sweeney, 1997) on USA population
 - * 87.1% (216 million/248 million) were likely made them unique based on
 - 5-digit ZIP, gender, date of birth,
 - \star 3.7% had characteristics that were likely made them unique based on
 - 5-digit ZIP, gender, Month and year of birth.
 - Data from mobile devices:
 - * two positions can make you unique (home and working place)
 - AOL and Netflix cases (search logs and movie ratings)
 - Similar with credit card payments, shopping carts, search logs, ...
 (i.e., high dimensional data)

- Data privacy is "impossible", or not ?
 - Privacy vs. utility
 - Privacy vs. security
 - $\circ\,$ Computationally feasible

A classification – Dimensions

- **Dimension 1.** Whose privacy is being sought
 - Respondents' (*passive* data supplier)
 - Holder's (or owner's)
 - User's (active)

• Ex. 3.1. A hospital collects data from patients and prepares a server to be used by researchers to explore the data.

- Ex. 3.1. A hospital collects data from patients and prepares a server to be used by researchers to explore the data.
- Actors: Database of patients
 - Holder: the hospital
 - Respondents: the patients

- Ex. 3.1. A hospital collects data from patients and prepares a server to be used by researchers to explore the data.
- Actors: Database of patients
 - Holder: the hospital
 - Respondents: the patients
- Actors: Database of queries
 - Holder: the hospital
 - Respondents: researchers
 - User's: researchers if they want to protect the queries

• Ex. 3.2. An insurance company collects data from customers for internal use. A software company develops new software. A fraction of the database is transferred to the software company for software testing.

• Actors:

- Holder: The insurance company
- Respondent: Customers

• Ex. 3.4. Two supermarkets with fidelity cards record all transactions of customers. The two directors will mine relevant association rules from their databases. In the extent possible, each director do not want the other to access to own records.

• Actors:

- Holder: Supermarkets
- \circ Respondent: Customers

- **Dimension 1.** Whose privacy is being sought REVISITED
 - Respondents' privacy (passive data supplier)
 - Holder's (or owner's) privacy
 - User's (*active*) privacy

⇒ Respondents' and holder's privacy implemented by holder.
 Different focus. Respondents are worried on their individual record, companies are worried on general inferences (e.g. to be used by competitors). E.g., protection of Ebenezer Scrooge's data

(E. Scrooge | misanthropic, tightfisted, money addict)

The hospital may be interested on hiding the number of addiction relapses.

 \Rightarrow User's privacy implemented by the user

Dimensions: 2nd

- **Dimension 2.** Knowledge on the analysis to be done
 - Full knowledge: Average length of stay for hospital in-patient
 - Partial or null knowledge: A model for mortgage risk prediction (but we do not know what kind of model will be used)



Males Females

Dimensions: 2nd

- **Dimension 2.** Knowledge on the analysis to be done
 - Data-driven or general purpose (*analysis not known*)
 - Computation-driven or specific purpose (analysis known)
 - Result-driven (analysis known: protection of its results)



- **Dimension 3.** Number of data sources
 - Single data source. (single owner)
 - Multiple data sources. (multiple owners)

1st - 3rd Dimensions: Summary

Data–driven (general–purpose)		Computation-driven (specific-purpose)		Result-driven
f sources	Single data source			
Number o	Multiple data sources			
ser p	privacy			
Protecting the identity of the user		ıser	Protecting the activity	g the data generated by ty of the user

Masking methods

Respondent and holder privacy. Acc. to knowledge on the analysis

- Data-driven or general purpose (*analysis not known*)
 → masking methods / anonymization methods (one data source)
- Computation-driven or specific purpose (*analysis known*)
 → cryptographic protocols (multiple data sources)
 → masking methods (single data source, differential privacy)
- Result-driven (*analysis known: protection of its results*)
 - \rightarrow masking methods (one data source, Holder's privacy)



Anonymization/masking method: Given a data file X compute a file X' with data of *less quality*.



Masking methods

Anonymization/masking method: Given a data file X compute

- a file X' with data of *less quality*.
- Original X

Respondent	City	Age	IIIness
ABD	Skövde	28	Cancer
COL	Mariestad	31	Cancer
GHE	Stockholm	62	AIDS
CIO	Stockholm	64	AIDS
HYU	Göteborg	58	Heart attack

• Protected X'

Respondent	City	Age	Illness
ABD	Skövde or Mariestad	30	Cancer
COL	Skövde or Mariestad	30	Cancer
GHE	Tarragona	60	AIDS
CIO	Tarragona	60	AIDS

Approach valid for different types of data

• Databases, documents, search logs, social networks, . . . (also masking taking into account semantics: wordnet, ODP)



Masking methods



Research questions



Masking methods

- Perturbative. (less quality=erroneous data)
 - E.g. noise addition/multiplication, microaggregation, rank swapping

- Perturbative. (less quality=erroneous data)
 E.g. noise addition/multiplication, microaggregation, rank swapping
- Non-perturbative. (less quality=less detail)
 - E.g. generalization, suppression

- Perturbative. (less quality=erroneous data)
 E.g. noise addition/multiplication, microaggregation, rank swapping
- Non-perturbative. (less quality=less detail)
 E.g. generalization, suppression
- Synthetic data generators. (less quality=not real data)
 E.g. (i) model from the data; (ii) generate data from model

Masking methods

Information loss measures. Compare X and X' w.r.t. analysis (f)

 $IL_f(X, X') = divergence(f(X), f(X'))$

- f: generic vs. specific (data uses)
 - \circ Statistics
 - Machine learning: Clustering and classification
 For example, classification using decision trees
 - \circ ... specific measures for graphs



Privacy models and disclosure risk assessment

- Identity disclosure vs. Attribute disclosure
 - Attribute disclosure: (e.g. learn about Alice's salary)
 - \star Increase knowledge about an attribute of an individual
 - Identity disclosure: (e.g. find Alice in the database)
 - * Find/identify an individual in a masked file

Within machine learning, some attribute disclosure is expected.

- Identity disclosure vs. Attribute disclosure
- Boolean vs. quantitative measures

- Identity disclosure vs. Attribute disclosure
- Boolean vs. quantitative measures (minimize information loss vs. multiobjetive optimization)

- Identity disclosure vs. Attribute disclosure
- Boolean vs. quantitative measures (minimize information loss vs. multiobjetive optimization)

Examples. Privacy models / disclosure risk measures

Attribute disclosure Identity disclosure

Boolean	Differential privacy	k–Anonymity
Quantitative	Interval disclosure	Re-identification (record linkage) Uniqueness

Outline

Thank you

References

Related references.

- Torra, V. (2017) Data privacy: Foundations, new developments, and the big data challenge, Springer, forthcomming.
- Aggarwal, C. C., Yu, P. S. (2008) (eds.) Privacy-Preserving Data Mining: Models and Algorithms, Springer.
- Duncan, G. T., Elliot, M., Salazar, J. J. (2011) Statistical confidentiality, Springer.
- Hundepool, A., Domingo-Ferrer, J., Franconi, L., Giessing, S., Nordholt, E. S., Spicer, K., de Wolf, P.-P. (2012) Statistical Disclosure Control, Wiley.
- Navarro-Arribas, G., Torra, V. (2015) (eds.) Advanced Research in Data Privacy, Springer.
- Vaidya, J., Clifton, C. W., Zhu, Y. M. (2006) Privacy Preserving Data Mining, Springer.